

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,430		07/09/2003	Michael Tod Morman	13,857.1 9183		
22827	7590	07/12/2005		EXAMINER .		
DORITY &				VO, HAI		
POST OFFI GREENVIL		1449 29602-1449	1	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		•	1771	-	
				DATE MAN ED ARMADAA		

•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	A Handing No.	A1:4/-\					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/616,430	MORMAN ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hai Vo	1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); etter form for appeal by materially re a corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. 							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24-31 and 35-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The art rejections have been maintained for the following reasons. Applicants argue that WO 96/16216 teaches away for using a film which is prepared by stretching because WO'216 discloses that in assembling the composite fabric, the non-woven layer and the second layer are provided in an unstretched state. The examiner disagrees. Antoon, Jr. teaches a film that is prepared by stretching to render the film breathable. Likewise, the breathable film of Antoon is not in a stretched state when it is attached to the non-woven layer. Since the claims are completely silent as to the condition of the non-elastic film (stretched or unstretched state) when it is attached to the non-woven layer, the combination of WO'216 and Antoon, Jr. suggests the claimed subject matter. Further, Applicants argue that the nonextensible film in Serbiak prevents stretching of the outer cover layer, bodyside liner and the other portion of the article to which it is attached, therefore, the combined teachings of Serbiak and Antoon, Jr. do not arrive at the presently claimed invention wherein the laminate is stretched in a first direction to neck the laminate such that striated rugosities are formed in the nonextensible film layer in the first dimension. The examiner disagrees. Serbiak discloses the nonextensible film prevents substantial extension of the base structure when attached thereto. Serbiak also teaches the extensibility of the absorbent article in the nonextensible area is limited to 20% or less of the unstretched dimension, in any dimension (column 9, lines 13-17). Likewise, it is clearly apparent that the nonextensible film layer does not completely prevent the outer over layer, bodyside liner and other portion of the article to which it is attached from stretching. The base structure can be stretched up to 20% of the unstretched dimension.

Hai Vo

HAIVO PRIMARY EXAMINER